UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/528,978 | 03/23/2005 | Hajime Maekawa | MAT-8680US | 9170 |
| 23122 RATNERPRES | 7590 08/27/201 TIA | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 980 | CE DA 10492 | MCADAMS, BRAD | | |
| VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2456 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/27/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/528,978 | MAEKAWA ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | ROBERT B. MCADAMS | 2456 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>20 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FI | on. LED WITHIN TWO | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls. | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | 20 | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better. | nsideration and/or search (see NOT »); | E below); | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1° | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | , | , | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | | |
| 7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 36, 38-39, 41-53</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| /Rupal D. Dharia/ | /R. B. M./ | | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2400 | Examiner, Art Unit 2456 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new features of the amended claims require additional search and consideration.